LENGTHS OF EDGES AND DIAGONALS AND SUMS OF THEM IN REGULAR POLYGONS AS ROOTS OF ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS
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Abstract. Regular n-sided polygons inscribed in a unit circle are studied. The most important result in this experimental and expository study is a conjecture that, for each such a polygon, the square of the total length of all edges and diagonals is the greatest root of an algebraic equation (6) with coefficients depending on binomial coefficients. Geometrical interpretation of the other roots is discussed and it is also made obvious that all roots are related to simple linear combinations of edge and diagonal lengths. However, at first it is made evident that the squared lengths of all edges and diagonals are roots of an algebraic equation with coefficients depending on binomial coefficients; see (1)-(4). Especially it is shown that the sum of those entities is \( n^2 \) and the product of the same entities is \( n^n \). At least the latter results are not new and they are presented here as alternative approaches. The results were found in connection with another study [2] related to polygons by using the Survo system [5] created by the author, sharpened with the aid of Mathematica, and by consulting OEIS.
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Figure 1. Regular heptagon with all diagonals
1. Relations of squared lengths

It is proved that when an \( n \)-sided regular polygon is inscribed in a unit circle and all the edges and diagonals are considered, the sum of squares of the lengths of these entities equals to \( n^2 \). It is also evident that the product of these entities is \( n^n \).

Here these results are first shown numerically for a heptagon by using Survo. With the aid of symbolic computing in Mathematica the first assertion is proved for a general \( n \).

The essential details are told here as a snapshot from a Survo edit field. This story is also available as a gif animation [3].

Since the diagonals in this case are chords of the circle, the term chord will be used instead of diagonal.

If in a unit circle (thus with radius 1) a chord corresponding to a central angle, say alpha, is studied, it is easy to see that its length is \( 2 \times \sin(\alpha/2) \).

Then the edge length (that of each side) of a heptagon is \( 2 \times \sin(\pi/7) \) since the central angle is \( 2 \times \pi/7 \). Here \( \pi \approx 3.141592653589793 \).

Since the entire setup is algebraically based on the equation \( x^7-1=0 \) giving the vertices in the complex plane, it is clear that all lengths of line segments between vertices are roots of algebraic equations, too.

I first noticed that the square of the edge length \( (2 \times \sin(\pi/7))^2 \) is a root of an algebraic equation of the third degree by applying the PSLQ algorithm by the INTREL command of Survo:

```
INTREL 0.7530203962825329 / DEGREE=3
X=0.7530203962825329 is a root of X^3-7*X^2+14*X-7=0
```

It is natural to ask what are the other two roots in this case.

Numerical values of them are obtained by saving the coefficients of the equation in a matrix file P7.MAT

```
MATRIX P7 ///
-7 14 -7 1

MAT SAVE P7

POL R=ROOT(P7') / solving the equation
MAT LOAD R,1.1234567890123456,CUR+1

MATRIX R
Roots_of_P7'=0
///

real
1    0.7530203962825329
2    2.4450418679126291
3    3.8019377358048376
```
Thus all three roots are real, the squared edge length being the first one.

Since in a heptagon there are two kinds of chords (either over one vertex (central angle 2*\pi/7) or two vertices (angle 3*\pi/7), the roots appear to be squares of those chord lengths as the first root was the squared edge length.

This is seen by direct calculation:
(2*sin(2*\pi/7))^2=2.4450418679126287
(2*sin(3*\pi/7))^2=3.8019377358048385

The sum of the roots is obtained directly from the equation
X^3-7*X^2+14*X-7=0 as the opposite value of the coefficient -7 of X^2.

Since the number of edges as well as the both types of chords is 7, the total sum of squares is 7*7=49=7^2.
Similarly, the product of these items is 7^7 since the product of the roots above is also 7 which is directly seen from the constant term of the equation.

On the basis of numerical experiments it is evident that in a regular n-sided polygon inscribed in a unit circle, the sum of squares of all line segments between n vertices of the polygon is equal to n^2 and the product of the same entities is equal to n^n.

The first assertion is proved by symbolic computation in Mathematica as follows:

If n is odd, say n=2*k+1, the number of types of various chords is k and there 2*k+1 chords of each type. Then by saving the following Mathematica code in a text file K.TXT

SAVEP CUR+1,CUR+2,K.TXT
InputForm[(1+2*k)*
FullSimplify[Sum[(2*Sin[i*Pi/(2*k+1)])^2,{i,k}]]]

the desired result is obtained by calling Mathematica by the following sucro command of Survo:

/MATH K.TXT
In[2]:= InputForm[(1+2*k)*
FullSimplify[Sum[(2*Sin[i*Pi/(2*k+1)])^2,{i,k}]]
Out[2]//InputForm= (1 + 2*k)^2

Thus Mathematica gives (1+2*k)^2=n^2 as expected.

If n is even, say n=2*k, the longest chord is the diameter of the circle appearing only k times and having the squared length 4.
The number of other chord types is \( k-1 \) each appearing \( 2k \) times. Then a valid Mathematica code for computing the sum of squares is

```
SAVEP CUR+1,CUR+2,K.TXT
InputForm[Simplify[
  2*k*FullSimplify[Sum[(2*Sin[i*Pi/(2*k)])^2, {i, k-1}]]+k*4]]
```

giving in this case

```
/MATH K.TXT
In[2]:= InputForm[Simplify[
  2*k*FullSimplify[Sum[(2*Sin[i*Pi/(2*k)])^2, {i, k-1}]]+k*4]]
Out[2]//InputForm= 4*k^2
```

and then the sum of squares is \( 4k^2 = n^2 \) as it should be.

By the aid of Mathematica it was proved above that in a regular \( n \)-sided polygon inscribed in a unit circle, the sum of squares of all line segments between \( n \) vertices of the polygon is equal to \( n^2 \). It also evident that the product of the same entities is \( n^n \), since numerical experiments show that it holds. Here are some examples calculated by Survo:

```
pi=3.141592653589793
n=2*k+1
p(k):=for(j=1)to(k)product((2*sin(j*pi/(2*k+1)))^2)
P(k):=p(k)^(2*k+1)
n=3: P(1)=27 3^3=27
n=5: P(2)=3125 5^5=3125
n=7: P(3)=823543 7^7=823543
n=9: P(4)=823543 9^9=823543
........................................
pi=3.141592653589793
n=2*k
p(k):=for(j=1)to(k-1)product((2*sin(j*pi/(2*k)))^2)
P(k):=p(k)^(2*k)*4k
n=4: P(2)=256 4^4=256
n=6: P(3)=64666 6^6=64666
n=8: P(4)=16777216 8^8=16777216
n=10: P(5)=10000000000 10^10=10000000000
........................................
```

2. Equations for squared lengths

In co-operation with Survo, Mathematica, and the OEIS (The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences) I have found the equations giving those squares, say chord squares, as roots.
Here is a summary of these conjectures:
If \( n \) is odd, the equation is of the form

\[
S(n,0) + S(n,1)x + \ldots S(n,m)x^m = 0, \quad m = (n-1)/2
\]

where \( S(n,k) = T(n,(n-1)/2-k) \) and \( T(n,k) = (-1)^k(C(n-k,k) + C(n-k-1,k-1)) \) (\( C \) denotes the binomial coefficient) gives the chord squares as roots. The \( T(n,k) \) numbers are the same as numbers A132460 in OEIS and the \( S(n,k) \) coefficients are the same in opposite order. The formula for \( T(n,k) \) is presented in OEIS. Below is a sample of \( S(n,k) \)'s computed in Survo:

\begin{verbatim}
S(3,0):-3 S(3,1):=1
S(5,0):=5 S(5,1):-5 S(5,2):=1
S(7,0):=-7 S(7,1):=27 S(7,2):=-9 S(7,3):=1
S(9,0):=-9 S(9,1):=55 S(9,2):=-77 S(9,3):=44 S(9,4):=-11 S(9,5):=1
\end{verbatim}

The \( S(n,k) \) coefficients can then be also presented by a direct formula

\[
S(n,k) := (-1)^{n/2-k}C(n/2+k,2k+1)
\]

If \( n \) is even, the equation is of the form

\[
U(n,0) + U(n,1)x + \ldots U(n,m)x^m = 0, \quad m = n/2 - 1
\]

where

\[
U(n,k) = (-1)^{n/2-k}C(n/2+k,2k+1).
\]

This equation gives all chord squares as roots except the trivial one equal to 4 corresponding to the diameter of the circle. The \( U(n,k) \) coefficients are related to OEIS A053122 (\( n \) only replaced by \( n/2-1 \)) and the formula of \( U(n,k) \) is obtained from the OEIS formula. Here is a sample of them:

\begin{verbatim}
U(4,0):=-2 U(4,1):=1
U(6,0):=3 U(6,1):=-4 U(6,2):=1
U(8,0):=4 U(8,1):=10 U(8,2):=-6 U(8,3):=1
U(10,0):=5 U(10,1):=-20 U(10,2):=21 U(10,3):=-8 U(10,4):=1
U(12,0):=-6 U(12,1):=35 U(12,2):=-56 U(12,3):=36 U(12,4):=-10 U(12,5):=1
\end{verbatim}

Thus the roots of \( S \) and \( U \) equations give all pertinent information about chord squares.

A note:
By multiplying the \( U \) polynomial by \( 4 - x \) also the squared diameter is included and the coefficients will be \( V(n,k) = (-1)^{n/2-k}(C(n/2+k-1,2k-1) + 4C(n/2+k,2k+1)) \) and, for example
V(n, k) := (-1)^{n/2-k} * (C(n/2+k-1, 2*k-1) + 4*C(n/2+k, 2*k+1))

V(4, 0) = 8  V(4, 1) = -6  V(4, 2) = 1
V(6, 0) = -12 V(6, 1) = 19  V(6, 2) = -8  V(6, 3) = 1
V(8, 0) = -12 V(8, 1) = -44 V(8, 2) = 34 V(8, 3) = -10 V(8, 4) = 1
V(10, 0) = -20 V(10, 1) = 85 V(10, 2) = -104 V(10, 3) = 53 V(10, 4) = -12 V(10, 5) = 1

leading to OEIS sequence A140882 and the formula for V(n, k) is simpler than that given in OEIS.

Similarly, multiplying the U polynomial by 2-x gives coefficients (-1)^{2n-k} * (C(n+k-1, 2*k-1) + 2*C(n+k, 2*k+1)) leading to OEIS A136672 where again is no hint of this kind of an elementary expression.

For odd values n ≤ 51, the following Mathematica application shows that the chord squares are the exact roots of equation (1).

s = 0; count = 0;
For[n = 3, n<=51, n=n+2,
  For[i=1, i<=((n-1)/2), ++i,
    x = (2*Sin[i*Pi/n])^2;
    a = FullSimplify[Sum[(-1)^((n+1)/2-k) * (Binomial[(n+1)/2+k, 2*k+1] + Binomial[(n+1)/2+k-1, 2*k+1]) * x^k, {k, 0, (n-1)/2}]];
    s = s + Abs[a]; ++count;
  ]
Print[s]
Print[count]

Print[s] giving 0 tells that all squared chord lengths are really roots of (1) and Print[count] giving 325 shows that all roots (1 + 2 + · · · + 25 = 325) have been tested.

A similar Mathematica application confirms that the chord squares (except 4) are the exact roots of equation (3) for all even n ≤ 50.

2.1. Sketch of a proof. In a paper [4] of Savio and Suryanarayan it has been shown that for odd n the squared lengths of chords are eigenvalues of a tridiagonal m × m matrix V_m(1) (where m = (n - 1)/2) with diagonal elements 2, 2, . . . , 2, 3 and all sub- and superdiagonal elements equal to 1. Similarly, when n is even, the squared lengths of chords (except the diagonal) are eigenvalues of a tridiagonal m × m matrix U_m(1) (where m = n/2 - 1) with diagonal elements 2, 2, . . . , 2, 2 and all sub- and superdiagonal elements equal to 1. Savio and Suryanarayan do not evaluate the coefficients of the characteristic equation (except for n = 7) to the forms which I found as formulas (1)-(4) and it is now simple to prove these formulas using these properties of matrices V_m(1) and U_m(1) by induction.
More precisely, let

\[ U'_m(x) = U_m(1) - \text{diag}(x, x, ..., x) \] and \( u_m(x) = \det(U'_m(x)) \).

Then \( u_m(x) = 0 \) is the characteristic equation when \( n \) is even and by expanding determinant \( u_{m+1}(x) \) along the last row we obtain

\[ u_{m+1}(x) = (2 - x)u_m(x) - u_{m-1}(x). \]

Now it remains to prove that the polynomial in (3) satisfies this difference equation.

Similarly, let

\[ V'_m(x) = V_m(1) - \text{diag}(x, x, ..., x) \] and \( v_m(x) = \det(V'_m(x)) \).

Then \( v_m(x) = 0 \) is the characteristic equation when \( n \) is odd and a similar evaluation leads to

\[ v_{m+1}(x) = (3 - x)u_m(x) - u_{m-1}(x). \]

Now it remains to prove that the polynomial in (1) satisfies this difference equation. This is done for these two difference equations by using Mathematica as follows:

*Proof for even \( n \): \( m=n/2-1 \)

```
In[2]:= U[m_] := Sum[(-1)^(m-k)*Binomial[m+1+k,2*k+1]*x^k,k,0,m]
In[3]:= Expand[FullSimplify[U[m+1]+((2-x)U[m]+U[m-1])]]
Out[3]= 0
```

*Proof for odd \( n \): \( m=(n-1)/2 \)

```
In[2]:= U[m_] := Sum[(-1)^(m-k)*Binomial[m+1+k,2*k+1]*x^k,k,0,m]
In[3]:= S[m_] := Sum[(-1)^(m-k)*(Binomial[m+k+1,2*k+1]+Binomial[m+k,2*k+1])*x^k,k,0,m]
In[4]:= Expand[FullSimplify[S[m+1]+(3-x)*U[m]+U[m-1]]]
Out[4]= 0
```

*Note: The sign of the highest term in \( U \) and \( S \) alternates for consecutive \( m \) values. Therefore, for example, in the last code we have \( S[m+1]+(3-x)*U[m]+U[m-1] \) instead of \( S[m+1]-(3-x)*U[m]+U[m-1] \).

From formulas (1) and (3) both the sums and the products of the squared chord lengths are calculated on the basis of the coefficient of the second highest term and the constant term.
When $n$ is odd, the absolute values of the second highest term and the constant term is $n$. Since each chord appears in an $n$-gon $n$ times, the sum of the squared lengths of the chords is $n^2$ and the product of them is $n^n$. When $n$ is even, the corresponding values are $n - 2$ and $n/2$. By observing that the diameter of the surrounding circle is not included, the sum of squared chords is $n(n - 2) + 4n/2 = n^2$ and the product $(n/2)^n \times 4^{n/2} = (n/2)^n \times 2^n = n^n$.

3. **Equation for total length of chords**

It is obvious that also the total length $L(n)$ of chords (edges and diagonals) of a regular $n$-sided polygon is related to roots of some algebraic equation. I have found these kind of equations experimentally by starting from good numerical approximations of $L(n)$ and using the `RootApproximant` command of Mathematica giving the most plausible algebraic equation by a PSLQ algorithm for any fixed $n$.

The next snapshot from a Survo edit field is a slightly refined version of my original attempt. The general result is achieved by studying prime $n$ values in the first place, since then shortcuts caused by extra divisibilities are avoided. It turns out that it is more efficient to study the square of the total sum of chords since then the equations will be of lesser degree.
Let's start by studying a heptagon (n=7).
Calculating the square of the total sum of chords with a high accuracy
(1000) and finding the most plausible equation:

```
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=7;
a=N[n*Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],{i,1,(n-1)/2}],1000];
*InputForm[RootApproximant[a^2]]
```

*In[2]:= n=7;
*In[3]:= a=N[n*Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],{i,1,(n-1)/2}],1000];
*In[4]:= InputForm[RootApproximant[a^2]]
*Out[4]//InputForm= Root[-823543 + 84035*#1 - 1029*#1^2 +#1^3 & , 3, 0]

An equation of 3rd degree is found with the following coefficients
being multiples of decreasing powers of 7 except in the highest term:

```
*Coefficients
*Coefficients of 7^i, i=0,1,...,(n-1)/2
*823543(10:factors)=7^7 -1
*84035(10:factors)=5*7^5 5
*1029(10:factors)=3*7^3 -3
*1
```

*A corresponding calculation with values n=11,13,17,19,23 completes
the following table of coefficients divided by n^i, i=n,n-2,n-4,...
*(c refers to the constant term and it can be fixed to +1)

```
*i  n  c  x  x^2  x^3  x^4  x^5  x^6  x^7  x^8  x^9  x^10  x^11
* 7  1  -5  3  -1
*11 1  -15  42  -30  5  -1
*13 1  -22  99  -132  55  -6  1
*17 1  -40  364  -1144  1430  -728  140  -8  1
*19 1  -51  612  -2652  4862  -3978  1428  -204  9  -1
*23 1  -77  1463  -10659  35530  -58786  49742  -21318  4389  -385  11  1
```

The general form of the polynomial is

```
P(n,x)=S(n,0)*n^n + S(n,1)*n^(n-2)*x + ... + S(n,k-1)*n^3*x^(k-1) + x^k
```

where k=(n-1)/2.

*Temporarily absolute values of S(n,i)'s denoted here by Sni are
studied.
The 'law' for Sni's is revealed by ESTIMATE operation of Survo
from the data set S1 (corresponding to x column above):

```
*DATA S1
*n  Sn1
```
The dependency between $S_{n1}$ of $n$ cannot be linear. Therefore a quadratic model $MS1$ is defined

$$MS1 \quad S_{n1} = c_0 + c_1 n + c_2 n^2$$

and coefficients $c_0, c_1, c_2$ estimated by activating the following line:

```plaintext
*ESTIMATE S1,MS1,CUR+1 / RESULTS=0 METHOD=N
```

Estimated parameters of model $MS1$:

- $c_0 = 0.333333 \pm 1.02933E-012$
- $c_1 = -0.5 \pm 1.47693E-013$
- $c_2 = 0.166667 \pm 4.85874E-015$

$n=6$ $rss=0.000000$ $R^2=1.00000$ $nf=11$

It is then obvious that

$$S_{n1} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n^2}{6} = \frac{(2-3n+n^2)}{6} = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$$

and the result is easily checked for each value in DATA $S1$.

On the basis of this result it is natural to try a quartic model for $S_{n2}$ values ($x^2$ column above):

```plaintext
*DATA S2
  n  Sn2
  7   3
  11  42
  13  99
  17  364
  19  612
  23  1463
```

$$MS2 \quad S_{n2} = c_0 + c_1 n + c_2 n^2 + c_3 n^3 + c_4 n^4$$

```plaintext
*ESTIMATE S2,MS2,CUR+1 / RESULTS=0 METHOD=N
```

Estimated parameters of model $MS2$:

- $c_0 = 0.2 \pm 1.09461E-005$
- $c_1 = -0.416667 \pm 3.51571E-006$
- $c_2 = 0.291667 \pm 3.93926E-007$
- $c_3 = -0.083333 \pm 1.84408E-008$
- $c_4 = 0.008333 \pm 3.07131E-010$

$n=6$ $rss=0.000000$ $R^2=1.00000$ $nf=22$
*These results give credence to following deductions:
*Sn2(n):=1/5-5/12*n+7/24*n^2-1/12*n^3+1/120*n^4
* = (n-1)*(n-2)*(n-3)*(n-4)/fact(5) fact() is factorial in Survo
* = fact(n-1)/fact(n-5)/fact(5)
* = C(n,5)/n
* = C(n,2*i+1)/n (i=2 for this Sni)
*For example, C(23,2*2+1)/23=1463 = Sn2(23)
*Thus the general expression for numbers S(n,i) is
* S(n,i)=(-1)^i*C(n,2*i+1)/n, i=0,1,2,...,(n-1)/2-1
*and then the coefficients of the polynomial P(n,x) are
*(-1)^i*C(n,2*i+1)*n^(n-2*i-1), i=0,1,2,...,(n-1)/2-1

According to this experiment, \( L(n)^2 \) for at least for primes \( n \) is a root of equation

\[
(n-1)^2 \sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^i C(n, 2i + 1)n^{n-2i-1}x^i = 0
\]

where the constant term is \( n^n \) and the coefficient of highest term is either 1 or -1 depending on whether \( (n-1)/2 \) is even or odd. In fact all \( (n-1)/2 \) roots of equation (5) are real and \( L(n)^2 \) is the greatest root. The equation seems to be valid also for any odd \( n \geq 3 \).

By simple trials I found that for any even \( n \) the corresponding equation follows after small modifications by replacing \( (n-1)/2 \) by \( n/2 \) and \( 2i + 1 \) (in two places) by \( 2i \) and then the general equation obviously valid for all \( n \geq 3 \) reads

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^i C(n, 2i + k)n^{n-2i-k}x^i = 0
\]

where \( k = 0 \) when \( n \) is even and \( k = 1 \) when \( n \) is odd.

Hence, in general, my conjecture is that \( L(n) \) is the square root of the greatest root of equation (6). By replacing \( x \) by \( x^2 \) the equation gives \( L(n) \) as its greatest root directly.

So far I have tested this conjecture for all \( n \leq 301 \) numerically with a high accuracy and there are no reasons to suspect its general validity on this basis.

At least for odd \( n \) the other roots of (6) seem to correspond to lengths of certain partial graphs in a similar way as the largest root corresponds to the entire graph with length \( L(n) \).

3.1. Case \( n = 7 \). Let us study a regular heptagon (n=7).

In this case the roots are calculated from the equation obtained
*in the beginning of the previous snapshot as follows:
*Solve[-823543 + 84035*#1 - 1029*#1^2 + #1^3 == 0, #1, Reals],16]
A regular heptagon with all diagonals is shown in Figure 2.

The expression for the diagonal length in a regular polygon with $n$ sides can be obtained by

$$L(n)^2 = (n^2 - 4n + 2)\cdot s^2$$

where $s$ is the side length. For $n = 7$, the following calculations were performed:

```math
In[2]:= N[Solve[-823543 + 84035*#1 - 1029*#1^2 + #1^3 == 0, #1, Reals], 16]
          {#1 -> 940.5877985463719}}
```

The largest root, $940.5877985463719$, is equal to the sum of the lengths of the two smaller roots, $11.36379156050121$ and $77.04840989312695$, as expected.

By using the `GEOM` program, the following graph is created and it shows how the two smaller roots are connected to partial chords. In the graph, the length of the line segment $P_0Q_3$ (between vertex $P_0$ and intersection point $Q_3$ of lines $P_0P_2$ and $P_1P_6$, chords over one vertex) is approximately $x_3 = 0.4815746188075288$ and then $(7 \times x_3)^2 = 11.363791560501$ equals to the smallest root. In the graph there are altogether 7
such line segments. Then their total length corresponds to the smallest root in the same way as $L(7)$ corresponds to the largest root.

Similarly the length of the line segment $P_0Q_2$ (between vertex $P_0$ and intersection point $Q_2$ of lines $P_0P_3$ and $P_2P_5$, chords over two vertices) is according to results given by GEOM approximately $x_2 = 1.253960376627038$ and then $(7 \times x_2)^2 = 77.048409893127$ equals to the remaining root. Again, in the graph there are altogether 7 such non-overlapping line segments. Then their total length corresponds to that root.

3.2. Case $n = 11$. As another, more complicated example case $n = 11$ is considered. Now the equation (5) is of the fifth degree and its roots are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root</th>
<th>sqrt(Root)</th>
<th>Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5853.272159523696</td>
<td>$76.506680489508213$ L(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>580.1662357815750</td>
<td>$24.086640192886492$ 11*(P0P3+P0Q2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>161.1548171741704</td>
<td>$12.694676725863104$ 11*P0Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49.97458892056945</td>
<td>$7.0692707488516415$ 11*P0Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.43219859999945</td>
<td>$3.229891422320336$ 11*P0Q5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest root is the same as the total length $L(11)$ of the graph as expected. The square root of the second root divided by 11 is greater than 2 (diameter of the circle) and then it cannot be described by a single line segment but it seems to be attributed to one total chord $P_0P_3$ added by the line segment $P_0Q_2$ (Fig.4). The remaining three roots are related to single line segments.

I found these correspondences experimentally by actually drawing the entire graph on paper (by means of Survo) so that the diameter of the circle was 20 cm. Then the line segments related to roots were detetected simply by drawing a circle with a center in $P_0$ and a radius equal to the square root of a selected root and observing where the circle meets a crossing point of some chords. The hardest part was to find out the interpretation of the second largest root after the other roots were indentified. By observing that no part of the chord $P_0P_3$ was related to other roots and the square root of that root (say $L_2$) exceeded 2, I took the difference
$L_2$-$P_0P_3$ as the radius and found it to match $P_0Q_2$. Of course all these findings were checked by calculations with the \texttt{GEOM} program of Survo in double accuracy. It is possible that the above interpretations are valid and essentially unique for all primes $n$. My conjecture is that in such cases the 'green' subgraph as that in Fig.4 can be uniquely selected as a subset of the complete set of line segments so that each of these line segments starts from the same vertex ($P_0$, for example) and they do not overlap each other.

It is obvious that when the green constellation in Fig.4 is rotated 10 times by the angle $2\pi/11$, the successive graphs do not overlap each other. For this reason I extended the \texttt{GEOM} program by a command (\texttt{rotate k,m,n}) which rotates all defined line segments through an angle $k\pi/m$ about the origin $n$ times. Thus in this case the command reads \texttt{rotate 2,11,10}.

The above characterizations are based on graphs generated by the \texttt{GEOM} program of Survo and giving also the numerical measurements of line segments in double accuracy.
The graphs corresponding to the roots of (5) for $n = 11$ are presented in Fig. 5. Thus the total length of the green line segments in each graph is the same as the square root of the root.

This geometric interpretation of roots seems not to be valid for composite $n$ values. For example, when $n = 4$, the square roots of the roots divided by 4 are $\sqrt{2} + 1$ and $\sqrt{2} - 1$ and thus not representable in this way.

The alternative interpretation to be described in the next chapter works better in this respect.
4. Roots of (6) as linear combinations of the chord lengths

As an alternative interpretation the roots seem to be related to simple linear combinations of the chord lengths

\[ d'_i = 2 \sin(i \pi / n), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \]

where \( m = \lfloor n / 2 \rfloor \) and \( d'_1 \) is the edge length. For forthcoming considerations it is better to present them in an opposite order as follows

\[ d_1 = d'_m \text{ for odd } n \quad \text{and} \quad d_1 = d'_m / 2 = 1 \text{ for even } n, \]

\[ d_i = d'_{m+1-i}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, m \]

When \( n \) is even, \( d_1 \) is the radius instead of the diameter (the longest chord) and then each of the line segments corresponding to lengths (8) appear in the set of all chords exactly \( n \) times and the total length \( L(n) \) (square root of the largest root of equation (6)) is

\[ L(n) = (d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_m)n \]

for all \( n > 2 \).

According to my examinations it turns out that square roots \( R_{n,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) of all roots of equation (6) can be presented in the form

\[ R_{n,i} = (c_{n,1}d_1 + c_{n,2}d_2 + \cdots + c_{n,m}d_m)n \]

where coefficients \( c_{n,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) have only values \(-1, 0, 1\). For any prime \( n \) the only values are \(-1 \) and \( 1 \).

Denote

\[ r_{n,i} = R_{n,i}/n, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m. \]

I have no general formula for the \( c \) coefficients, but it is possible to present a simple algorithm for computing them and thus for any given \( n \), exact expressions (as sums of trigonometric terms) for all roots can be found.

When \( n \) is a prime, according to this algorithm, the expression for \( r_{n,i} \) is found by at most \( i \) trials giving correct \( c \) values (instead of checking all \( 2^m \) possible combinations without an algorithm).

When \( n \) is a composite integer, a considerable part of roots are 'inherited' from corresponding setups for factors of \( n \).

For example, when \( n = 15 \), the setup contain 3 distinct pentagons (and their diagonals) and 5 distinct equilateral triangles but there are also chords (like edges of the 15-sided polygon and other chords) unique to \( n = 15 \).

The following excerpt from a Survo edit field illustrates the situation numerically. It gives the matrix of the \( c \) coefficients and shows how 3 roots of 7 are related polygons with 3 or 5 sides.

```
Roots in case n=15

Solving equation by Mathematica:
SAVEP CUR+1,CUR+7,K.TXT
n=15;
```

\[ ^{11} \text{July 2013} \]
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\[
eq = \sum \left( (-1)^i \cdot \text{Binomial}[n, 2i+1] \cdot n^{-(n-2i-1)} \cdot x^i \right),
\{
\{i, 0, (n-1)/2\}\}
\]

\[
\text{lst} = \text{N[Solve[eq == 0, x, Reals], 16];}
\]

\[
\text{lst}2 = x /\text{lst;}
\]

\[
\text{lst}3 = \text{Map[Sqrt, lst2];}
\]

\[
\text{lst}4 = \text{Function[x, x/n]} /\text{lst}3;
\]

\[
\text{TableForm[Sort[lst4, Greater]]}
\]

\[
r_{15,i} \text{ values, } i=1,2,...,7:
\]

\[
\text{Out[8]//TableForm} =
\begin{array}{c}
9.514364454222585 \\
3.077683537175253 \\
1.7320508075688773 \\
1.1106125148291929 \\
0.7265425280053609 \\
0.445228853085362 \\
0.2125565616700221
\end{array}
\]

Computing chord lengths d:

\[
n = 15 \quad \pi = 3.141592653589793
\]

\[
\text{MAT D15=ZER((n-1)/2,1)}
\]

\[
\text{MAT TRANSFORM D15 BY 2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*pi/n)}
\]

\[
\text{MAT LOAD D15, 12.123456789012345, CUR+2}
\]

\[
\text{MATRIX D15}
\]

\[
\text{T(D15_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*pi/n))}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4 \\
5 \\
6 \\
7
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
1.989043790736547 \\
1.902113032590307 \\
1.7320508075688777 \\
1.486289650954788 \\
1.175570504584946 \\
0.813473286151600 \\
0.415823381635519
\end{array}
\]

Coefficients c (found by algorithm):

\[
\text{MATRIX C15}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c c c c c c c}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
r_{5,1} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
r_{3,1} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
r_{5,2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
6 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
7 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{MAT SAVE C15}
\]

Checking that C15*D15 gives the \(r_{15,i}\) values:
MAT D15B=C15*D15  / *D15B=C15*T(D15_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I*)pi/n)) 7*1
MAT LOAD D15B
MATRIX D15B
C15*T(D15_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*pi/n))

///
1     9.514364454222585
r_{5,1} 3.077683537175253
r_{3,1} 1.732050807568877
4     1.10612514829193
r_{5,2} 0.726542528005361
6     0.445228685308536
7     0.212556661670022

When the \( c \) coefficients in the matrix \( C15 \) are applied to the exact \( d \) values (8), the expressions of the exact roots are obtained.

Before describing the algorithm for detecting exact roots of equation (6) some auxiliary findings are presented.

4.1. Approximations of \( r_{n,1} \). The algorithm will start from reasonable good numerical approximations of \( r_{n,i} \) numbers obtained by the Mathematica code given in the previous example. The exact roots are then determined in the decreasing order. Especially immediately after the 'trivial' first root, on the step \( i \) it is good to know whether the \( r_{n,i} \) happens to be \( r_{k,1} \) of some factor \( k \) of \( n \). Then without solving the corresponding equation it is possible to check this by using a good approximation of \( r_{k,1} \).

The following snapshot from a Survo edit field shows how such an approximation was found.

```
*SAVE ASUMS2 / Approximating sum of chord lengths
*LOAD INDEX
*
* *GLOBAL* RESULTS=0 ACCURACY=16 pi=3.141592653589793
*
*Computing approximate \( r_{n,1} \) values for odd \( n \):
*
*pi=3.141592653589793
*sum(N):=for(j=1)to((N-1)/2)sum(2*sin(j*pi/N))
*
*20 first values as a table:
*
*VAR n,len TO CH
*n=2*ORDER+1
*len=sum(n)
*DATA CH,A+1,A+20,A,A-1
*12345 12345.123456789012345
*A n len
* 3 1.732050807568877
* 5 3.077683537175253
```
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* 7  4.381286267534823
* 9  5.671281819617709
* 11 6.955152771773474
* 13 8.235740954498493
* 15 9.51436445422585
* 17 10.791718657261582
* 19 12.06820527949777
* 21 13.340763957711
* 23 14.619482518287244
* 25 15.8944484365305
* 27 17.16936929485834
* 29 18.443914736029271
* 31 19.718319768511250
* 33 20.99258346139551
* 35 22.266730058633755
* 37 23.5407858684998
* 39 24.814744060525122
* 41 26.088638715381673

* Saving the data structure to file CHORDS.SVO
* and computing 20000 first values for odd n:
*
* FILE CREATE CHORDS,64,8
* FIELDS:
* 1 N 8 n  (#####)
* 2 N 8 len  r_{n,1} (#####.###############)
* END
*
* FILE INIT CHORDS,20000
* VAR n,len TO CHORDS
*
* Testing a linear model for 5000 last cases:
* LINREG CHORDS,CUR+1 / VARS=n(X),len(Y) IND=ORDER,15001,20000
* Linear regression analysis: Data CHORDS, Regressand len N=5000
* Variable Regr.coeff. Std.dev. t
* n 0.636619772800611 0.000000000000000 0
* constant -0.000030219060136 0.000000000000000 0
* Variance of regressand len=3378048.267229033200 df=4999
* Residual variance=0.000000000000000 df=4998
* R=1.000000000000000 R^2=1.000000000000000
*
* It is easy to see that the regression coefficient is about 2/pi
* and the constant term is 0.
* 2/pi=0.63661977236758
*
* Thus r_{n,1} is approximately 2/pi*n.
*
* Improving the approximation by estimating parameters of
*a non-linear model:
*MODEL M1
*len=2/pi*n+c*n\(^b\)
*
*ESTIMATE CHORDS,M1,CUR+1 / IND=ORDER,1,20000  RESULTS=0
*Estimated parameters of model M1:
*pi=3.14159 (1.31709E-011)
*c=-0.535431 (3.84578E-005)
*b=-1.00663 (3.56619E-005)
*n=20000 rss=0.000002 R\(^2\)=1.00000 nf=376
*It seems reasonable to fix b to value -1:
*#b=-1
*MODEL M1B
*len=2/pi*n+c*n\(^b\)
*
*ESTIMATE CHORDS,M1B,CUR+1 / IND=ORDER,1,20000  RESULTS=0
*Estimated parameters of model M1B:
*pi=3.141592652588964 (0.000000000022732)
*c=-0.529132169451750 (0.000031114719847)
*n=20000 rss=0.000005 R\(^2\)=1.00000 nf=66
*Computing error diff:
*VAR diff=len-2/pi*n TO CHORDS 
*and trying to find a better estimate c:
*MODEL M2
*diff=c/n
*}
c=-0.53
*
*ESTIMATE CHORDS,M2,CUR+1 / IND=ORDER,15000,20000  RESULTS=0
*Estimated parameters of model M2:
*c=-0.523598812330865 (0.000000034138593)
*n=5001 rss=0.000000 R\(^2\)=1.00000 nf=4
*
*Since
*0.52359881/pi=-0.1666666676170682
*it is natural to assume that an 'accurate' value of c/pi is
*-1/6=-0.1666666666666667
*and thus
*c=-pi/6  c=-0.5235987755982988
*A better approximation for r\(_n\),1 is then
*2/pi*n-pi/6/n
*
*VAR diff2:len-2/pi*n+pi/6/n TO CHORDS
*
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*FILE UPDATE CHORDS
*FIELDS: (active)
*  1 NA_ 8 n  (#######)
*  2 NA_ 8 len  r_n,1 (#######.####################)
*  3 NA- 4 diff  ~len-2/pi*n (##.#######)
*  4 NA- 8 diff2  ~len-2/pi*n+pi/6/n (##.####################)
*END

*Survo data file CHORDS: record=64 bytes, M1=8 L=64 M=4 N=20000
*FILE SHOW CHORDS
..............................

*FILE LOAD +CHORDS / IND=ORDER,1,20

* n  len  diff  diff2
*  3  1.732050807568877 -0.17781 -0.003275584334434
*  5  3.077683537175253 -0.10542 -0.000695659642994
*  7  4.381286267534823 -0.07505 -0.000252313952776
*  9  5.671281819617709 -0.05830 -0.00011849957379
* 11  6.95152771773474 -0.04766 -0.000064835579176
* 13  8.23740954498493 -0.04032 -0.000395378788
* 15  9.514364445222585 -0.03493 -0.0002556251249
* 17  10.79178657261582 -0.03082 -0.0001754501931
* 19  12.068205279497754 -0.02757 -0.0001256519164
* 21  13.344072639597711 -0.02494 -0.0000930593008
* 23  14.619482518287244 -0.02277 -0.0000708201680
* 25  15.98454843865305 -0.02095 -0.0000551430299
* 27  17.16936929485834 -0.01940 -0.000043771948
* 29  18.44391476029271 -0.01806 -0.000035324736
* 31  19.71831768511250 -0.01689 -0.000028917995
* 33  20.99258346139551 -0.01587 -0.000023971710
* 35  22.266730058633755 -0.01496 -0.0000209214498
* 37  23.540778558664998 -0.01415 -0.0000170666098
* 39  24.814744060525122 -0.01343 -0.0000145217928
* 41  26.08838715381673 -0.01277 -0.00001249845303

*FILE LOAD +CHORDS / IND=ORDER,19996,20000

* n  len  diff  diff2
* 39993 25460.334543204342000 -0.00001 -0.0000000079406
* 39995 25461.607782749659000 -0.00001 -0.0000000152024
* 39997 25462.881022295140000 -0.00001 -0.000000060868
* 39999 25464.154261840722000 -0.00001 -0.000000013218
* 40001 25465.427501385904000 -0.00001 -0.000000074809

*Solving n from len=2/pi*n-pi/6/n gives
*n(len):=pi*(3*len+sqrt(12+9*len^2))/12
*and, for example,
n(26.08838715381673)=40.9999803770768
*n(25465.427501385904000)=40000.9999999984
These calculations indicate that if an approximate root $R$ of equation (6) for a given $n$ has been obtained, it is possible that it is also the largest root of the corresponding equation for some factor, say $k$ of $n$ and $k$ is the nearest integer to

$$n(r) = (3r + \sqrt{12 + 9r^2})\pi/12$$

where $r = \sqrt{n'/n}$.

By the aid of Mathematica also better approximations can be found as follows:

More accurate approximation by using Mathematica assuming that

len = -2/\pi*n + pi/6/n + O(1/n^3)

*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=100001;
*s=N[Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],{i,1,(n-1)/2}],200];
*N[(s-2/Pi*n+Pi/6/n)*n^3,30]

*More accurate approximation by using Mathematica assuming that
*len=-2/pi*n+pi/6/n + O(1/n^3)
*
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=100001;
*s=N[Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],{i,1,(n-1)/2}],200];
*N[(s-2/Pi*n+Pi/6/n)*n^3,30]
E
*/MATH K.TXT
*In[2]:= n=100001;
*In[3]:= s=N[Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],{i,1,(n-1)/2}],200];
*In[4]:= N[(s-2/Pi*n+Pi/6/n)*n^3,30]
*Out[4]= -0.0861285463361900663901520713508
*
*-0.0861285463361900663901520713508/pi^3=-0.0027777777778431
*
*0.00277777777777(10:ratio)=1/360 (-7.778066385411e-015)
*
*Then it is plausible to assume that
*
*len = 2*(pi/n)^(-1) - 1/6*(pi/n)^1 - 1/360*(pi/n)^3 + O(1/n^5)

*VAR diff3:8=len-2/pi*n+pi/6/n+pi^3/360/n^3 TO CHORDS
*
*FILE UPDATE CHORDS
*FIELDS: (active)
* 1 NA_ 8 n (#####)
* 2 NA_ 8 len r_n,1 (#####.###################)
* 3 NA- 4 diff -len-2/pi*n (##.#######)
* 4 NA- 8 diff2 ~len-2/pi*n+pi/6/n (##.###############)
* 5 NA- 8 diff3 ~len-2/pi*n+pi/6/n+pi^3/360/n^3 (##.###############)
*END
*Survo data file CHORDS: record=64 bytes, M=8 L=64 M=5 N=20000
*FILE LOAD +CHORDS / IND=ORDER,1,10
* n len diff diff2 diff3
* 3 1.732050807568877 -0.17781 -0.003275584334434 -0.000085638173909
By denoting
\[ x = \frac{\pi}{n} \]
we seem to have an approximation
\[ \text{len} = \frac{2}{x} - \frac{x}{6} - \frac{x^3}{360} - \frac{x^5}{15120} + O(x^7) \]
and it can be improved three times in a similar way:
\*n=100001;
*len=N[n*Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],i,1,(n-1)/2]/n,200];
*x=Pi/n;
*diff3=N[len-2/x+x/6+x^3/360+x^5/15120,50]
*N[1/(diff3/x^7),50]

E
*/MATHRUN K.TXT

*
*len=2/x-x/6-x^3/360-x^5/15120-x^7/604800+O(x^9)
*
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=1000001;
*len=N[n*Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],i,1,(n-1)/2]/n,200];
*x=Pi/n;
*diff3=N[len-2/x+x/6+x^3/360+x^5/15120+x^7/604800,50]
*N[1/(diff3/x^9),50]

E
*/MATHRUN K.TXT

*Out[5]= -1.2446234439676636496680838175472581526891070623802 10
*   -2.39500799999940*10^-7=-23950079.9999939999
*
*len=2/x-x/6-x^3/360-x^5/15120-x^7/604800-x^9/23950080+O(x^11)
*
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=1000001;
*len=N[n*Sum[2*Sin[i*Pi/n],i,1,(n-1)/2]/n,200];
*x=Pi/n;
*diff3=N[len-2/x+x/6+x^3/360+x^5/15120+x^7/604800+x^9/23950080,50]
*N[1/(diff3/x^11),50]

E
*/MATHRUN K.TXT

*
*The approximation works similarly for even n values and improves
*when n grows.
*The accuracy for the 9th degree approximation
*l(x):=2/x-x/6-x^3/360-x^5/15120-x^7/604800-x^9/23950080
*for the smallest n values:
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\*n=3: \sqrt(3)-1(\pi/3)=-0.000000018053257
\*n=2: 1-1(\pi/2)=-0.00000161938722 "2-sided polygon (2 x radius)"
\*n=1: 0-1(\pi)=-0.0004145475699363 "1-sided polygon (null)"

*The divisors in this type of approximations seem to be related
*to factorials in this way:
*  fact(3)=6
*  3*fact(5)=360
*  3*fact(7)=15120
*  5/3*fact(9)=604800
*  3/5*fact(11)=23950080

Although only odd \(n\) values were considered above, the approximations work similarly for any even \(n\). The accuracy of the last approximation is about 70 significant digits for \(n = 1000001\). Unfortunately, it seems to be difficult to determine more terms in this approximation, since the next 'divisor' (for \(x^{11}\)) is about 946218790.16 and definitely not an integer.

4.2. Determining \(c\) coefficients in (10). At first only cases where \(n\) is a prime number are considered for certain specific values. It is shown how the \(c\) coefficients are found for \(n = 23\) 'in the hard way' by listing all possible (2048) combinations of eleven +1's and -1's.

*SAVE Pgon23A / Roots of 23-sided regular polygon
*LOAD INDEX
*/LMAX
*     ACCURACY=16     pi=3.141592653589793
*\n*n=23
*MAT D23=ZER((n-1)/2,1)
*MAT TRANSFORM D23 BY 2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*\pi/n)
*MAT LOAD D23,12.123456789012345,CUR+2
*
*MATRIX D23
*T(D23_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*\pi/n))
*/// 1
*  1  1.995337538381078
*  2  1.958168175364646
*  3  1.884521844237641
*  4  1.775770436804750
*  5  1.633939786020884
*  6  1.46167192856248
*  7  1.262175888652106
*  8  1.039167900070867
*  9  0.796802179692483
* 10  0.539593542314049
* 11  0.27233298192493
*

*
Computing approximate values of $r_{23,i}$, $i=1,2,...,11$:

```
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*n=23;
*eq=Sum[(-1)^i*Binomial[n,2*i+1]*n^(n-2*i-1)*x^i,{i,0,(n-1)/2}];
*lst=N[Solve[eq==0,x,Reals],16];
*lst2=x/.lst;  
*lst3=Map[Sqrt,lst2];
*lst4=Function[x,x/n]/@lst3;
*TableForm[Sort[lst4,Greater]]
```

```
In[2]:= n=23; 
In[3]:= eq=Sum[(-1)^i*Binomial[n,2*i+1]*n^(n-2*i-1)*x^i,{i,0,(n-1)/2}]; 
In[4]:= lst=N[Solve[eq==0,x,Reals],16]; 
In[5]:= lst2=x/.lst; 
In[6]:= lst3=Map[Sqrt,lst2]; 
In[7]:= lst4=Function[x,x/n]/@lst3; 
In[8]:= TableForm[Sort[lst4,Greater]] 
Out[8]//TableForm= 14.619482518287245
   4.812264198989465
   2.813730331357741
   1.9299123940846557
   1.4166772502560133
   1.070738552066125
   0.813560343762645
   0.608134712889860
   0.434361296238207
   0.2801868599743765
   0.13744683634711928
   
```

Creating all possible sets of +1,-1 coefficients:

```
*Integers 1,2,...,2048=2^11 as binary vectors:
*COMB N2 TO K.TXT / N2=INTEGERS,11,2

*SHOW K.TXT / Loading lines 301-310 as an example
```

```
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0
0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1

```
*Conversion to a matrix B of all +1,-1 combinations:
*FILE SAVE K.TXT TO NEW B / FIRST=1
*MAT SAVE DATA B TO B
*MAT TRANSFORM B BY 2*X#-1
*
*MAT LOAD B(301:310,*),12,CUR+1
*MATRIX B
*T(B_by_2*X#-1)

//

 301 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 -1
 302 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1
 303 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 -1
 304 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 -1 1 1 1
 305 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 -1
 306 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 1
 307 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1
 308 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 1
 309 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 -1
 310 -1 -1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 1 -1

*Computing all 2048 possible linear combinations with these coefficients:
*MAT A=B*D23 / *A~T(B_by_2*X#-1)*T(D23_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*pi/n)) 2048*1
*
*List of r_{23,i} values and their indices in matrix A:
*
 i  r_{23,i}  index

 1  14.619482518287245  2048
 2  4.8122641988989465  1463
 3  2.81373031357741  925
 4  1.9299123940846557  871
 5  1.4166772502661250  497
 6  1.0707385520661250  1366
 7  0.8135603437626450  1593
 8  0.6081134712889860  1921
 9  0.4343612962382070  694
10  0.2801868599743765  1326
11  0.13744683634711928  820
*
*Searching for the index of any particular r value from matrix A
*loaded below in the edit field:
*FIND 0.137446836
*
*Loading coefficients for current r:
*MAT LOAD B(820,*),123,CUR+1
*MATRIX B
*T(B_by_2*X#-1)
//

  X1  X2  X3  X4  X5  X6  X7  X8  X9  X10  X11
* 820  -1  1  1  -1  1  1  -1  1  1
*  
*All values of linear combinations listed in the current edit field:
*MAT LOAD A,123.1234567890,CUR+1
*MATRIX A
*T(B_by_2*X#-1)*T(D23_by_2*sin(((n+1)/2-I#)*pi/n))
***// 1
* 1 -14.6194825183
* 2 -14.0748159219
* 3 -13.5402954337
* 4 -12.9956288373
* 5 -13.0258781589
* 6 -12.4812115625
* .... ..............
*
*Creating matrix C23 of coefficients:
*  
*n=23 m=(n-1)/2
*MAT C23=ZER(m,m)
*MAT C23(1,1)=B(2048,*)
*MAT C23(2,1)=B(1463,*)
*MAT C23(3,1)=B(0925,*)
*MAT C23(4,1)=B(0871,*)
*MAT C23(5,1)=B(0497,*)
*MAT C23(6,1)=B(1366,*)
*MAT C23(7,1)=B(1593,*)
*MAT C23(8,1)=B(1921,*)
*MAT C23(9,1)=B(0694,*)
*MAT C23(10,1)=B(1326,*)
*MAT C23(11,1)=B(0820,*)
*
*
*MAT LOAD C23,12,CUR+1
*MATRIX C23
*O&B(2048,*)&B(1463,*)&B(0925,*)&B(0871,*)&B(0497,*)&B(1366,*)&B(1593,*)&B(1921,*)&B(0
***// 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
* 2 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 -1
* 3 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 -1 -1
* 4 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1
* 5 -1 -1 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
* 6 -1 -1 1 -1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
* 7 1 1 -1 -1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1
* 8 1 1 1 1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1
* 9 -1 1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 -1
* 10 1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 1 1 -1 1 1
* 11 -1 1 1 -1 1 1 1 -1 1 1 1
It was important to notice certain regularity at least on the first rows of the matrix. The coefficients are \textit{periodical}. The period length on the row \(i\) is \(2i - 1\) and those periods are indicated in red. This was also the reason for presenting the chord lengths in decreasing order.

For more revealing information, a similar computing and search process was completed for \(n = 43\) leading to selection of \((43 - 1)/2 = 21\) linear combinations from \(2^{21} = 2097152\) alternatives. It gave the following matrix of coefficients:

\[
C_{43}(n) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & * & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & * & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
3 & * & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
4 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
5 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
6 & * & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
7 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
8 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
9 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
10 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
11 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
12 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
13 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
14 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
18 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
20 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
21 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

--

A similar periodicity prevails here, but the actual coefficients on a given line are not usually the same. When comparing this to the case \(n = 23\), rows denoted by an asterisk have the same pattern, others not.

There is a strong temptation to look for simple trigonometric functions and after some experiments (by plotting trigonometric curves and observing their sign changes) I came to a conclusion that for primes \(n\) the general element of the \(C(n)\) matrix is of the form

\[
C(n)_{ij} = \pm \text{sgn}(\cos(q_{n,i} \pi (2j - 1)/(2i - 1))), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor
\]

where coefficients \(q_{n,i}\) are positive integers less than \(i\) for \(i > 1\) and equal to 1 for \(i = 1\). The sign of the expression is selected so that the corresponding linear combination gets a positive value.

For example, for \(n = 23\) these coefficients are found by means of Survo as follows:
pi=3.141592653589793
n=23
q=5 I=11 0<q<=I 0<J<=I
MAT H=ZER(1,(n-1)/2)
MAT #TRANSFORM H BY sgn(cos(q*pi*(2*J-1)/(2*I-1)))
MAT G!=H*D23
MAT LOAD G,123.123456789012345,CUR+2

MAT G
//
1
-0.137446836347119

i  r_{23,i}  q_{23,i}  +-
1  14.619482518287245  1  -
2  4.812264198989465  1  +
3  2.813730331357741  1  -
4  1.9299123940846557  1  -
5  1.4166772502560133  1  -
6  1.0707385520661250  5  +
7  0.8135603437626450  2  +
8  0.6081134712889860  1  +
9  0.4343612962382070  7  -
10 0.2801868599743765  7  +
11 0.13744683634711928  5  -

In the above display (line 3) the combination q=1 I=1 gives always r_{n,1} and for other rows the right q value is found by a systematic search starting from q=1.

As mentioned earlier, for composite n some of the linear combinations are inherited from corresponding calculations of some factors of n. In such a case, no valid q coefficient is found according to (13) and then the correct factor is found by using (12) for r=r_{n,i}.

When n is even, the formula (13) is replaced by

\[ C(n)_{ij} = \pm \text{sgn}(\cos(q_{n,i}\pi(2j-2)/(2i-1))) \], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2. \]

The structure of r_{i,n} numbers for n=30 is following:

The roots related to factors of n=30 are revealed by the equation

\[ n(len) := \pi(3*len+\sqrt{12+9*len^2})/12 \]

so that for the second root 6.313751514675043
n(6.313751514675043)=9.9998654971461942 refers to r_{10,1}
Thereafter every third root (3*10=30) is inherited from decagon.

Similarly for the third root 3.732050807568877
Thereafter every fifth (5*6=30) root is inherited from hexagon.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 19.081136687728211 & \text{q} & +\\
2 & 6.313751514675043 & r_{\{10,1\}} & -\\
3 & 3.732050807568877 & r_{\{6,1\}} & +\\
4 & 2.605089064693802 & r_{\{10,2\}} & -\\
5 & 1.9626105055051506 & r_{\{10,2\}} & +\\
6 & 1.5398649638145829 & r_{\{6,3\}} & -\\
7 & 1.2348971565350514 & r_{\{10,3\}} & +\\
8 & 1.0000000000000000 & r_{\{10,4\}} & -\\
9 & 0.8097840331950071 & r_{\{10,5\}} & +\\
10 & 0.6494075931975106 & r_{\{6,6\}} & -\\
11 & 0.509525449444288 & r_{\{10,7\}} & +\\
12 & 0.383844032453629 & r_{\{10,8\}} & -\\
13 & 0.2679491924311227 & r_{\{10,9\}} & +\\
14 & 0.15838444032453629 & r_{\{10,10\}} & +\\
15 & 0.05240777928304120 & r_{\{10,11\}} & +
\end{array}
\]

Seven of the roots are those of either a decagon or a hexagon. The remaining eighth roots are unique for \(n=30\). The task of specifying the exact roots is thus partially recursive leading in this example to examination of cases \(n=10\) and \(n=6\).

The middlemost 8th value is equal to 1 meaning that \(n^2\) is a root of equation (6). By inserting this to the equation leads (assuming that \(n\) is even) to

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n/2} (-1)^i C(n, 2i) = 0
\]

and it is easy to see that this is true only if \(n\) is of the form \(n = 2(2k+1)\).

A more general result valid for any even \(n\) is that

\[
1/r_{n,i} = r_{n,n/2+1-i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n/2.
\]

For example, in the preceding example for \(n=30\) we have

\[
1/r_{30,1} \approx 1/19.081136687728211 \approx 0.05240777928304120 \approx r_{30,15},
\]

\[
1/r_{30,2} \approx 1/6.313751514675043 \approx 0.15838444032453629 \approx r_{30,14},
\]

e tc.

Equations (16) are proved as follows. Assume that \(x\) is a root of (6). Then according to (11) and (16) also \(n^4/x\) should be a root of the same equation. This is shown simply by replacing \(x\) by \(n^4/x\) in (6) and detecting that then the original equation reappears after multiplying by \(x^{n/2}/n^n\). Thus \(n^4/x\) is also a root of (6).

4.3. About \(q\) coefficients. No general formula for the \(q\) coefficients is known.

As said earlier it is evident that coefficients \(q_{n,i}\) are positive integers less than \(i\) for \(i > 1\) and since \(i=1\) refers to the largest root we have \(q_{n,1} = 1\) for all \(n\).
According to numerical experiments the \( q \) coefficient for the smallest root is \([n/4]\) when \( n \) is odd and \([n/2 − 1]\) when \( n \) is even.

Numerical examinations show certain patterns in the behaviour of the \( q \) coefficients and so also of rows of the \( C(n) \) matrices. In particular, by defining

\[
amod(n, k) = \begin{cases} 
\text{mod}(n, k), & \text{if } \text{mod}(n, k) \leq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor, \\
\text{k} - \text{mod}(n, k), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

I have noticed that if for any two primes \( n_1, n_2 \) we have \( \text{amod}(n_1, 2i − 1) = \text{amod}(n_2, 2i − 1) \), then \( q_{n_1,i} = q_{n_2,i} \) and thus the patterns of coefficients on row \( i \) of \( C(n_1) \) and \( C(n_2) \) matrices are the same. The same seems to be true also for composite \( n \) values when \( q_{n,i} \) really exists so that the corresponding \( r_{n,i} \) is not related to any factor of \( n \).

For example, the similarities of patterns for \( n_1 = 23 \) and \( n_2 = 43 \) on rows 2, 3, 6 (see p. 29) are consequences of relations

\[
\text{amod}(23, 2 \cdot 2 − 1) = \text{amod}(43, 2 \cdot 2 − 1) = 1, \\
\text{amod}(23, 2 \cdot 3 − 1) = \text{amod}(43, 2 \cdot 3 − 1) = 2, \\
\text{amod}(23, 2 \cdot 6 − 1) = \text{amod}(43, 2 \cdot 6 − 1) = 1, \\
\text{but}
\]

\[
\text{amod}(23, 2 \cdot 4 − 1) = 2, \\
\text{amod}(43, 2 \cdot 4 − 1) = 1, \\
\text{amod}(23, 2 \cdot 5 − 1) = 4, \\
\text{amod}(43, 2 \cdot 5 − 1) = 2.
\]

In the next table \(^4\) the \( q \) coefficients related to primes according to their \( \text{amod} \) values are given for rows 2, 3, \ldots, 22. The row \( i \) in the table is a permutation of integers 1, 2, \ldots, \( i − 1 \). The numbers displayed in gray (being the same as column numbers) extend each row \( i \) to a permutation, but cannot appear as \( \text{amod} \) values due to common factors with \( 2i − 1 \).

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccc}
\hline
\text{row}/\text{amod} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21 \\
\hline
\hline
\end{array}
\]

\(^3\)Formula corrected 27 Apr 2017

\(^4\)The same table extended to row=75: \url{http://www.survo.fi/papers/Q75.txt}
These values apply also for any composite \( n \) in those cases where the root is not related to some factor of \( n \).

The permutations appearing in the table presented by cycles are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>row</th>
<th>permutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(1,2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(1,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1,4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(1,5)(2,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(1,6)(2,3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1,7)(2,4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(1,8)(2,4)(6,7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(1,9)(2,5)(4,7)(6,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(1,10)(2,5)(4,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(1,11)(2,6)(3,4)(5,7)(8,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(1,12)(2,6)(3,4)(7,9)(8,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(1,13)(2,7)(4,10)(5,8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(1,14)(2,7)(3,5)(4,11)(6,12)(8,9)(10,13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(1,15)(2,8)(3,5)(6,13)(7,11)(9,12)(10,14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(1,16)(2,8)(5,10)(13,14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(1,17)(2,9)(3,6)(4,13)(8,11)(12,16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(1,18)(2,9)(3,6)(4,14)(5,11)(7,8)(10,13)(15,16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(1,19)(2,10)(4,5)(7,14)(8,17)(11,16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>(1,20)(2,10)(3,7)(4,15)(6,17)(8,18)(9,16)(11,13)(14,19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

showing that all these permutations are of order 2 with certain systematic features. However, no complete rule how the permutations arise is not found.

4.4. **Algorithm for exact roots of (6) as a sucro.** On the basis of evidence gathered in the previous chapter I have created to a Survo macro (sucro) \texttt{RFIND2} for identifying the exact roots of (6) from approximate roots automatically for any given \( n \).

The code of this sucro is listed below. After a general setup \texttt{RFIND2} it generates Mathematica code for computing approximate roots of (6) and calls then Mathematica to evaluate them with 16 decimal places. These approximate roots are saved in a Survo matrix file \texttt{ROOTS.MAT}.

Thereafter the accurate roots are derived on the basis of approximate roots by a new Survo program module written in C and it is called in this sucro by the Survo matrix command \texttt{MAT ARFIND}.

In the original version of this sucro (RFIND) also the exact roots were determined by a sucro code. However, using C code instead of interpretative sucro code tremendously speeds up the execution.
For example, when $n = 307$ RFIND needs 24 minutes on my 2 GHz computer while RFIND2 solves the problem in 10 seconds. In the latter case most of the time is required for finding the approximate roots.

Thus here is the sucro code for RFIND2:

```
*TUTSAVE RFIND2
/ /RFIND2 n,matrix / 2 August 2013 /SM
/ Finding exact roots of equation (6) for a given n>1
/ /RFIND creates a matrix file with columns:
/ sign if unique root, +1 or -1, otherwise 0
/ q if sign!=0 q_{n,i}, otherwise 0
/ factor if sign=0 factor, otherwise 0
/ index if sign=0 index (of factor root), otherwise 0
/
/ /RFIND2 computes approximate roots ROOTS.MAT by Mathematica and
/ thereafter accurate roots by Survo command MAT _ARFIND(n,matrix,ROOTS).
/
/
*{tempo -1}{init}
  - if W1 '=' RETURN then goto Y
*{save stack}{W1=RFIND}{call SUR-SAVE}{break on}{del stack}
*{load stack}{jump 1,1,1,1}SCRATCH {erase}{erase}{act}{line start}
*
/INIT 1000,200{act}{line start}{erase}
*/RFIND2 RETURN{R}
/
/ def Wn=W1 Wmat=W2 Wm=W3 Wodd=W4 Wt=W5
/
  - if Wn < 2 then goto Y
  - if Wmat '=' {} then goto Y
*n={print Wn}{R}
*int({print Wn}/2)={act}{l} {save word Wm}
/
*{line start}{erase}m={print Wm}{R}
/
*n-2*m={act}{l} {save word Wodd}{l}={R}
/
*2-{print Wodd}={act}{l} {save word Wt}
*{line start}{erase}k={print Wt}{R}
*.{copy}{R}{R}
/
/ Creating Mathematica code for computing approximate roots:
*SAVEP CUR+1,CUR+7,K.TXT{R}
*n={print Wn};{R}
  - if Wodd = 0 then goto B1
*eq=Sum[(-1)^i*Binomial[n,2*i+1]*n^(n-2*i-1)*x^i,{{i,0,(n-1)/2}}];
*{goto B2}
```
Here is the C code for MAT #ARFIND. It is a C function op_arfind() working under the Survo matrix interpreter.
/ MAT #ARFIND(n,matrix,ROOTS)

extern double pi;

op__arfind()
{
    char expr1[2*LLENGTH];
    double a,b,gg,gap;
    int odd=0;
    int c_q,c_factor,c_index;
    int f;

    i=external_mat_init(1); if (i<0) return(1);
    if (g<5)
    {
        init_remarks();
        rem_pr("MAT #ARFIND(n,matrix,ROOTS) ");
        rem_pr("http://www.survo.fi/papers/Roots2013.pdf ");
        wait_remarks(2);
        return(1);
    }

    n=atoi(word[2]);

    // X=ROOTS (approximate)
    i=load_X(word[4]); if (i<0) { mat_not_found(word[3]); return(1); }
    m=n/2;
    odd=n-2*m; k=2-odd;

    // column indices in result matrix T:
    c_q=m;
    c_factor=2*m;
    c_index=3*m;

    i=mat_alloc_lab(&T,m,4,&rlabT,&clabT);
    strcpy(clabT,"sign q factor index ");
    numlab(rlabT,m,8);

    for (i=0; i<4*m; ++i) T[i]=0.0;

    D=malloc(m*sizeof(double));
    H=malloc(m*sizeof(double));

    for (i=1; i<=m; ++i)
        D[i-1]=2*sin((double)(m+1-i)*pi/(double)n);
    if (odd==0) D[0]=1;
i=1; q=1;
while (i<=m)
{
    for (j=1; j<=m; ++j)
    {
        a=cos(q*pi*(double)(2*j-k)/(double)(2*i-1));
        if (a<0.0) a=-1; else a=1;
        H[j-1]=a;
    }
    gg=0.0;
    for (j=1; j<=m; ++j) gg+=H[j-1]*D[j-1];
    if (gg<0.0) b=-1; else b=1; // sign
    if (i==1)
    {
        T[i-1]=b;
        T[c_q+i-1]=1;
        ++i; continue;
    }
    if (i>1)
    {
        if (T[c_factor+i-1]>0) { ++i; q=1; continue; }
        if (fabs(fabs(gg)-X[i-1])>0.00000001)
        {
            ++q;
            if (q<i) continue;
            else
            {
                // If no valid q is found,
                // X[i-1] must be a 'factor root'.
                a=X[i-1];
                f=pi*(3*a+sqrt(12+9*a*a))/12+0.5;
                if (n%f!=0)
                {
                    // This error message should never appear!
                    sprintf(sbuf, "\n%d is not a factor of %d!",
                            f,n);
                    sur_print(sbuf); getch();
                    return(1);
                }
                gap=n/f; // Recording other roots related to f
                i1=i; q=1;
                while (i1<=m)
                {
                    T[c_factor+i1-1]=f;
                    T[c_index+i1-1]=q;
                    i1+=gap; ++q;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
++i; q=1; continue;
}

else
{
    T[i-1]=b;
    T[c_q+i-1]=q;
    ++i; q=1;
    continue;
}

} // i

mT=m;

nT=4;

strcpy(exprT,"Exact_roots");
i=save_T(word[3]);

external_mat_end(argv1);

return(1);
}
5. Another expression for the total length of sides and diagonals

In the beginning of November 2013 I found a source for a general formula about 'Sines and Cosines of Angles in Arithmetic Progression'. It leads to a formula

\[ L(n) = n \sin((n - 1)\pi/(2n))/\sin(\pi/(2n)) = n \cot(\pi/(2n)) \]

as a special case.

This source is [1] where a formula

\[ \sin(a) + \sin(a + d) + \sin(a + 2d) + \cdots + \sin(a + (n - 1)d) = \sin(nd/2)\sin(a + (n - 1)d/2)/\sin(d/2). \]

originally proved in 1980ies by Samuel Greizer is presented.

The shorthand formula (17) is then obtained by replacing \( n \) by \( n - 1 \), by setting \( a = 0, \quad d = \pi/n \), and by multiplying by \( n \).

By using this formula it is possible to 'prove' by Mathematica that \( L(n)^2 \) is the largest root of equation (6) at least when \( n \) is odd. Based on (17) and the formula given as equation (20) in http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Tangent.html Jorma Merikoski has proved this for any odd \( n \) and similarly based on http://functions.wolfram.com/ElementaryFunctions/Cot/27/01/0002/ Pentti Haukkanen has proved this for any even \( n \).

I found experimentally (on 18 March, 2014) that besides the largest root \( L(n)^2 = [n \cot(\pi/(2n))]^2 \), also other roots of (6) can be expressed in the form

\[ x_i = [n \cot((2i - 1)\pi/(2n))]^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor. \]

Obviously this can be proved in the same way as in the case of the largest root. In fact, this was done by Pentti Haukkanen as presented in section 7.

6. Power sum symmetric polynomials

By considering \( r = L(n)/n \) instead of \( L(n) \) it is easy to see that \( r^2 \) is, according to (6), the largest root of equation

\[ \sum_{i=0}^{m} (-1)^i C(n, 2i + k) x^i = 0, \quad m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \]

where \( k = 0 \) when \( n \) is even and \( k = 1 \) when \( n \) is odd. According to earlier notations, the roots of (6') are \( r_{n,0}^2 = r^2, \quad r_{n,1}^2, \quad r_{n,2}^2, \ldots, \quad r_{n,m}^2 \) and according to (19) we have \( r_{n,i} = \cot((2i - 1)\pi/(2n)), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

Let's now study values of power sum symmetric polynomials on these roots

\[ P(n, k) = r_{n,0}^{2k} + r_{n,1}^{2k} + \cdots + r_{n,m}^{2k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots. \]

These values are computed in Survo for \( n = 7 \) and \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, 9 \):

\[
P(n, k):=\text{for}(j=1)\text{to}(\text{int}(n/2))\sum(1/\tan((2*j-1)*\pi/(2*n)))*(2*j)\]
\[
pi=3.141592653589793
\]
\[
P(7,0).=3
\]
\[
P(7,1).=21
\]
\[
P(7,2).=371
\]
This sequence is exactly the same as A108716 in OEIS but presented there without any hints about properties of a regular heptagon.

In this case, equation \((6')\) reads

\[
7 - 35x + 21x^2 - x^3 = 0.
\]

According to Newton’s formula for power sums of the roots, we have

\[
P(n, k) = 21P(n - 1, k) - 35P(n - 2, k) + 7P(n - 3, k), \quad k > 2.
\]

This was presented as a conjecture among the comments related to A108716.

The comments of A108716 include a reference to \([6]\) and there is an example on page 4 telling that the equation

\[
(20) \quad \binom{2m+1}{1} x^m - \binom{2m+1}{3} x^{m-1} + \binom{2m+1}{5} x^{m-2} - \ldots
\]

has the roots

\[
x_k = \cot^2\left(\frac{k\pi}{2m+1}\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, m
\]

according to \([7]\). Thus the equation \((20)\) corresponds to \((6')\) for \(n = 2m + 1\) with inverted roots.
Here is a list of $P(n,k)$ sequences (15 first terms) for $n = 2,3,\ldots,10$ and their OEIS A-numbers (if available).

$n=2$: A000012
1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1

$n=3$: A000244
1, 3, 9, 27, 81, 243, 729, 2187, 6561, 19683, 59049, 177147, 531441, 1594323, 4782969, 14348907

$n=4$: A003499
2, 6, 34, 198, 1154, 6726, 39202, 228486, 1331714, 7761798, 45239074, 263672646, 1536796802, 9957108166, 52205852194, 304278004998

$n=5$: not in OEIS
2, 10, 90, 805, 76250, 722250, 6841250, 613806250, 5814056250, 55071531250, 521645031250, 4941092656250, 46802701406250, 443321550781250

$n=6$: not in OEIS
3, 15, 195, 2703, 37635, 524175, 7300803, 101687055, 141631955, 19726764303, 27475838227, 382689058753, 53301709843203, 742397047217295, 10340256951198915, 144021200269567503

$n=7$: A108716
3, 21, 371, 7077, 135779, 2606261, 50028755, 960335173, 18434276035, 353858266965, 6792546291251, 130387472704741, 2502874814474531, 4804435738333793, 922243598852422035, 17703083191185355397

$n=8$: not in OEIS
4, 28, 644, 16156, 408068, 10312988, 260650628, 6587718172, 166498920452, 4208117405212, 106356588372484, 2688070798119196, 6793868049689092, 1717091973039975196, 43398026987430034052, 1096847912617835865116

$n=9$: not in OEIS
4, 36, 1044, 33300, 1070244, 34420356, 1107069876, 35607151476, 1145248326468, 36835122753252, 1184744167997204, 381054494299620, 1225602095970073572, 3941957638604322340, 1267869080483029127412, 40779027899804602385460

$n=10$: not in OEIS
5, 45, 1605, 63405, 2525445, 100665005, 4012824645, 159964949805, 6376755635205, 254199529900845, 10133272325160005, 403947277626499245, 16102735412149408005, 641910719831352217005, 25588781141105936626245, 102005730712751369098505
7. Roots of (6)

At first the following general formula for \( \cot(nx) \) is proved.

\[
\cot(nx) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{2j} (\cot x)^{n-2j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{2j+1} (\cot x)^{n-2j-1}}
\]

Applying

\[
\cot(x+y) = \frac{\cos(x+y)}{\sin(x+y)} = \frac{\cos x \cos y - \sin x \sin y}{\sin x \cos y + \cos x \sin y} = \frac{\cot x \cot y - 1}{\cot y + \cot x}
\]

we get

\[
\cot(nx) = \cot((n-1)x + x) = \frac{\cot x \cot((n-1)x) - 1}{\cot((n-1)x) + \cot x}
\]

By denoting numerator of (21) by \( A(n) \) and denominator by \( B(n) \), we have

\[
\cot(nx) = \frac{A(n)}{B(n)} = \frac{\cot x A(n-1)/B(n-1) - 1}{\cot((n-1)x)/B(n-1) + \cot x} = \frac{A(n-1) \cot x - B(n-1)}{A(n-1) + B(n-1) \cot x}
\]

According to

\[
\cot(2x) = \frac{(\cot x)^2 - 1}{2 \cot x}
\]

equation (21) is valid for \( n = 2 \). The general proof follows by induction showing that

\[
A(n) = A(n-1) \cot x - B(n-1), B(n) = A(n-1) + B(n-1) \cot x.
\]

This is done, for example, by the Mathematica code

```
*SAVEP CUR+1,E,K.TXT
*A[n_]:=Sum[Binomial[n,2j](-1)^j*Cot[x]^(n-2j),{j,0,Floor[n/2]}];
*B[n_]:=Sum[Binomial[n,2j+1](-1)^j*Cot[x]^(n-2j-1),{j,0,Floor[n/2]}];
E

*/MATHRUN K.TXT
*Out[4]= 0
*Out[5]= 0
```

Thus (21) has been proved and it will be applied as follows.

The following part of the proof was presented by Pentti Haukkanen.

Let \( x = (2i-1)\pi/(2n) \). Then we have

\[
\cot x = \cot[(2i-1)\pi/(2n)]
\]

and

\[
\cot(nx) = \cot[(2i-1)\pi/2] = 0.
\]

Thus according to (21)

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{2j} (\cot[(2i-1)\pi/(2n)])^{n-2j} = 0.
\]
Let $n = 2m$. Then

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m}{2j} (\cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m}{2j} n^{2j-2m}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{2m}{2m-2j} n^{-2j}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m+j} \binom{2m}{2j} n^{-2j}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}.$$  

By multiplying both sides by $(-1)^m n^n$ we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j} (n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m+1-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j} n^{2j-2m-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m+1-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{2m+1}{2m-2j} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j+1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m+j} \binom{2m+1}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j+1}.$$  

By multiplying both sides by $(-1)^m n^n (n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{-1}$ we get

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}.$$  

Thus (19) are the roots of (6) for any even $n$.

Let $n = 2m + 1$. Then

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j} (\cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m+1-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j} n^{2j-2m-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2m+1-2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} \binom{2m+1}{2m-2j} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j+1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m+j} \binom{2m+1}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j+1}.$$  

By multiplying both sides by $(-1)^m n^n (n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{-1}$ we get

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^j \binom{2m+1}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{n}{2j+1} n^{-2j-1}(n \cot[(2i - 1)\pi/(2n)])^{2j}.$$  

Thus (19) are the roots of (6) for any odd $n$. 
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8. More efficient algorithm

By using the formula (19) for the roots of equation (6) the algorithm RFIND2 for determining the exact roots as sums of sin terms can be simplified to the form

```plaintext
*TUTSAVE RFIND3
/ RFIND3 n,matrix          / 24 April 2014 /SM
/ Finding exact roots of equation (6) for a given n>1
/ RFIND creates a matrix file with columns:
/ sign if unique root, +1 or -1, otherwise 0
/ q    if sign!=0 q_{n,i}, otherwise 0
/ factor if sign=0 factor, otherwise 0
/ index if sign=0 index (of factor root), otherwise 0
/
/ RFIND3 saves roots (cot expressions numerically) in ROOTS.MAT and
/ thereafter derives accurate roots as sums of sin terms by
/ MAT _ARFIND(n,matrix,ROOTS).
/
/
/*{tempo -1}{init}
  - if W1 '=' RETURN then goto Y
/*{save stack}{W1=RFIND}{call SUR-SAVE}{break on}{del stack}
/*{load stack}{jump 1,1,1,1}SCRATCH {erase}{erase}{act}{line start}
/*INIT 1000,200{act}{line start}{erase}/RFIND3 RETURN{R}
/
/ def Wn=W1 Wmat=W2 Wm=W3 Wodd=W4 Wt=W5
/
- if Wn < 2 then goto Y
- if Wmat '=' {} then goto Y
*n={print Wn}{R}
*int({print Wn}/2)={act}{l} {save word Wm}
/
*m={print Wm}{R}
*/n-2*m={act}{l} {save word Wodd}{l}={R}
/*2-{print Wodd}={act}{l} {save word Wt}{line start}{erase}k={print Wt}
*/{R}
/
*MAT ROOTS=ZER(m,1){act}{R}
*MAT TRANSFORM ROOTS BY 1/tan((2*I#-1)*3.141592653589793/(2*n)){act}{R}
*.{copy}{R}{R}
/
/ Finding exact roots as matrix with columns: sign q factor index
/ by Survo command MAT #ARFIND:
*MAT #ARFIND({print Wn},{print Wmat},ROOTS){act}{R}
/```

---
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MAT \{ \text{print Wmat} \} != A \{ \text{act} \}
+ Y: \{ \text{wn=RFIND3} \} \{ \text{call SUR-RESTORE} \}
+ E: \{ \text{end} \}

For example, for \( n = 1009 \) RFIND3 finds the exact roots about 25 times faster (in 2 seconds) than RFIND2 since there is no need to call Mathematica for computing the approximate roots.
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